

DISTRICT OF LAKE COUNTRY

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AREA GUIDELINES CHECKLIST

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

Consideration has been given to the following issues as identified in Section 21.9 of the Official Community Plan relating to Natural Environment Development Permit Areas:

Site Guidelines				
Does the timing of the development avoid windows of critical fish and wildlife activities?	Yes	No	N/A	
Have environmentally significant natural areas and features been identified and avoided?	Yes	No	N/A	
Do subdivision plans preserve and protect environmental features?	Yes	No	N/A	
Have environmentally sensitive features been identified and preserved?	Yes	No	N/A	
Are environmentally sensitive areas identified and protected?	Yes	No	N/A	
Has development been limited to those areas of the property which will minimize impacts on environmental features?	Yes	No	N/A	
Will remaining natural areas and/or sensitive features be temporarily fenced or otherwise protected before commencing development?	Yes	No	N/A	
Does subdivision design ensure that natural corridors are preserved?	Yes	No	N/A	
Has indigenous vegetation within buffer strips been retained or restored if damaged?	Yes	No	N/A	
Has access to the buffer strip been restricted?	Yes	No	N/A	
Are permeable paving materials utilized to protect groundwater supply and minimize erosion from surface runoff?	Yes	No	N/A	
Site Guidelines- Habitat Restoration				
Does the development proposal minimize the loss of features or functions relating to environmentally significant natural areas and features?	Yes	No	N/A	
Does site development mitigate any impacts and propose to restore damaged areas/features to their former state?	Yes	No	N/A	
Have all measures to avoid or mitigate impacts been exhausted prior to proposing restoration measures? When restoration is proposed is the following being considered: Is the proposed replacement area of the same type and value; Is there risk associated with compensation measures; Is the time lag before achieving functional habitat, feature or area of significance?	Yes	No	N/A	
Has like-for-like restoration been proposed rather than replacement with a different feature or species?	Yes	No	N/A	

Buildings and Structure Guidelines						
Are buildings and structures designed to minimize the developed	Yes		No		N/A	
footprint during and after construction?	165		INO		IN/A	
Does the building and structure design incorporate existing terrain as						
much as possible in order to minimize impacts to the natural	Yes		No		N/A	
environment?						
Landscaping Guidelines						
Do the buffer strips remain undeveloped? Does landscaping in those	Voc		No		NI/A	
areas consist only of restoration which uses indigenous vegetation?	Yes		No		N/A	
If the buffer strip is disturbed does the revegetation plan consist only	Yes		No		NI/A	
of indigenous species and are the replacement ratios adhered to?	res		No		N/A	
Does the landscaping plan include drought resistant and indigenous	Yes		No		N/A	
vegetation throughout all areas of the property?	res		INO		IN/A	
Are invasive weeds eradicated within buffer strips and controlled	Voc		No		NI/A	П
throughout all areas of the property?	Yes		No		N/A	
Do trails, landscaping or formal gardens avoid any buffer strips?	Yes		No		N/A	
Have existing trees been retained and will the root system and drip	Yes	П	No		N/A	
lines be protected?	163		NO		IN/A	
Will re-vegetation of exposed soils occur after land alteration in order	Yes		No		N/A	
to prevent erosion and noxious weed infestation?	162		INO		IN/A	
Does any in-stream works (requiring bank or shore stabilization)						
utilize natural materials and avoid channelize the watercourse or	Yes		No		N/A	
impacting wildlife movement?						
Riparian Area Guidelines						
Has an assessment report prepared by a Qualified Environmental						
Professional been received for any subdivision or development	Yes		No		N/A	
identified as Riparian Areas on Map 15?						
Does the report certify that the Qualified Environmental	Voc	s 🗆			N/A	
Professional is qualified to carry out the assessment?	Yes		No		IN/A	
Does the report certify that the assessment methods have	Voc		No	٦	NI/A	
been followed?	Yes		No		N/A	
Does the report provide the professional opinion of the Qualified						
Environmental Professional that:						
i. if the development is implemented as proposed there will be						
no harmful alteration, disruption or destruction of natural						
features, functions and conditions that support fish life						
processes in the riparian assessment area; or						
ii. if the width of the streamside protection and enhancement	Yes		No		N/A	
area identified in the report is protected from the					,	
development, and the measures identified in the report as						
necessary to protect the integrity of those areas from the						
effects of the development are implemented by the developer,						
there will be no harmful alteration, disruption or destruction of						
natural features, functions and conditions that support fish life						
processes in the Riparian Assessment Area.	1	Ī	ĺ		1	

Does the proposed development refer to the Sensitive Habitat						
Inventory Mapping and ensure development is sensitive to the	Yes		No		N/A	
features identified in this mapping?						
Ecological Connectivity Corridor Guidelines						
Is the parcel in or adjacent to any Ecological Connectivity Corridors?						
 Is so, has a site-specific examination been completed for 	Yes		No		N/A	
any potential impacts to wildlife?						
Is the area located within the Ecological Connectivity Corridor as free						
as possible of buildings and structures, in order to ensure the free	Yes		No		N/A	
movement of wildlife?						
If buildings or structures are absolutely unavoidable, have they been						
located as far as possible from the centre of the corridor while also	Yes		No		N/A	
considering and avoiding other priority sensitive areas on the parcel?						
Has screening vegetation near buildings and at-grade wildlife						
crossings (indicated by signs and speed control) or wildlife crossing	Yes		No		N/A	П
structures been provided where new roads bisect the Ecological	res		INO		IN/A	
Connectivity Corridor?						
Does the length of the Ecological Connectivity Corridor remain						
connected?						
In rare exceptions, the width of un-fragmented (contiguous) natural	Yes		No		N/A	
vegetation in the corridor area and buffer could be reduced to a bare-	163		INO		IN/A	
minimum width of 50m to 100m for a short distance (e.g. 100m						
maximum distance along the corridor in a 5km stretch).						
Do riparian areas remain connected to the Ecological Connectivity	Yes		No		N/A	
Corridor?	163		NO		IN/A	
Have buildings and structures including fences that can act as						
obstructions or deterrents to the free movement of wildlife been	Yes		No		N/A	
minimized?						
Have subdivisions within the Ecological Connectivity Corridor						
considered the movement of wildlife in the orientation of the parcels						
and the positioning of any future buildings and structures including	Yes		No		N/A	
fences, vineyard trellises and other structures that may impede the						
movement of wildlife?						
Has any area developed within the corridor been offset by an equal						
contiguous area of similar or better habitat for local wildlife species to	Yes		No		NI/A	
provide for wildlife movement, protected by restrictive covenant,	res		No		N/A	
adjacent to or near the corridor?						
Does new fencing not pose any hazards to wildlife or impede access	Yes	П	No		N/A	
to wildlife habitat areas?	162		INU		IN/A	
Has existing fencing that may be hazardous to wildlife (e.g. broken	Voc		No		N/A	
wires and rails) been updated or eliminated?	Yes		INU	╽╜	IN/A	